Effects of Floor Level and Building Type on Residential Levels of Outdoor and Indoor Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Black Carbon, and Particulate Matter in New York City
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Abstract

Consideration of the relationship between residential floor level and concentration of traffic-related airborne pollutants may predict individual residential exposure among inner city dwellers more accurately. Our objective was to characterize the vertical gradient of residential levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH; dichotomized into $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{nonvolatile}; \text{MW} 228–278)$, and $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{semivolatile}; \text{MW} 178–206)$), black carbon (BC), PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter) by floor level (FL), season and building type. We hypothesize that PAH, BC and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations may decrease with higher FL and the vertical gradients of these compounds would be affected by heating season and building type. PAH, BC and PM$_{2.5}$ were measured over a two-week period outdoor and indoor of the residences of a cohort of 5–6 year old children ($n = 339$) living in New York City’s Northern Manhattan and the Bronx. Airborne-pollutant levels were analyzed by three categorized FL groups (0–2nd, 3rd–5th, and 6th–32nd FL) and two building types (low-rise versus high-rise apartment building). Indoor $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{nonvolatile})$ and BC levels declined with increasing FL. During the nonheating season, the median outdoor $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{nonvolatile})$, but not $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{semivolatile})$, level at 6th–2nd FL was 1.5–2 times lower than levels measured at lower FL. Similarly, outdoor and indoor BC concentrations at 6th–32nd FL were significantly lower than those at lower FL only during the nonheating season ($p < 0.05$). In addition, living in a low-rise building was associated significantly with higher levels of $\Sigma_{8}^{\text{PAH}}(\text{nonvolatile})$ and BC. These results suggest that young inner city children may be exposed to varying levels of air pollutants depending on their FL, season, and building type.
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1. Introduction
Proximity to major roadways has been associated with an increased risk of wheeze illness, asthma and atopy [1–5]. Previously, most measures of proximity to major roadways have focused on the spatial heterogeneity associated with the horizontal gradients of ambient pollutants such as black carbon (BC), particulate matter <2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$), ultrafine particles (UFP), and carbon monoxide (CO) near highways. As examples, Zhu et al. found that BC and UFP concentrations decreased exponentially within 150 m downwind from a major highway in Los Angeles, California [6,7]. Reoponen et al. also observed a distinct concentration gradient of UFP, but not PM$_{2.5}$, within 1,600 m of a highway in Cincinnati, Ohio [8]. In contrast, studies that characterize the vertical gradient of airborne pollutants, particularly in residential urban settings, remain scarce.

Most traffic-related air pollution studies showing associations with health effects have mainly relied on estimated exposure levels based on residential proximity to major roadways or traffic [1,2,9,10]. Other studies have used fixed ambient monitoring data to estimate residential indoor, outdoor, or personal exposure levels using geographic information systems (GIS) based methods [11–13]. However, nearly all researches have considered proximity as a perpendicular distance from major roadways or fixed sites, but not a vertical distance (e.g., floor level). Consideration of residential floor level as another proximity to traffic-related air pollution in the models may improve the prediction of individual residential exposures among inner city dwellers.

New York City (NYC) has the highest population density among US cities, with over 27,000 people per square mile [14]. Residences include both low-rise (less than 6 floors) and high-rise apartment buildings. Sources of air pollution include traffic, commercial sources, and heating units such as boilers or furnaces in buildings [14]. City dwellers may be exposed to different levels of air pollution depending on the strength of local traffic emissions, meteorological parameters, street configuration, as well as floor level (FL) of their apartment [15].

The few studies conducted on vertical gradients of traffic-related airborne pollutants have been conducted in urban Asia. Wu et al. found a significant decrease in ambient PM concentrations as height near major roadways increased from 2 to 79 m [16]. Li et al. also reported a decrease in the concentrations of outdoor PM$_{2.5}$ and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) as the height of residential building, next to a busy road, increased [17]. These findings were supported further by Tao et al. who showed that measured ambient PAH concentrations decreased with heights in winter due to vehicle emissions at ground level and the observed restrained atmospheric conditions [18]. However, most of these studies were limited by either involving only short-term ambient sampling (e.g., over a few days, in one season), or a specific building type (high-rise building near a major roadway). The effect of season on the vertical gradient of traffic-related pollutants still needs to be elucidated in residential outdoor and indoor environments.

We hypothesize that PAH, BC and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations may decrease with higher FL and the vertical gradients of these compounds would be affected by heating season and building type. Our first objective was to characterize the vertical gradient of residential indoor and
outdoor levels of PAHs (gas + particulate phase; dichotomized into $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ [MW 178–206], and $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ [MW 228–278]), BC, and PM$_{2.5}$ in a birth cohort study conducted by Columbia Center for Children’s Environmental Health (CCCEH). Our second objective was to determine the effects of season and building type on vertical gradients of measured air pollutants. We anticipate that these results may be important for assessing more accurately residential exposure and health risks in urban environments like NYC.

2. Methods

Study design

Children were primarily of African-American and Dominican ethnicity and lived in Northern Manhattan and the Bronx, geographical areas where exposure to traffic-related air pollution has been implicated in asthma and other diseases [19–21]. Three hundred thirty nine children were enrolled from the parent CCCEH cohort study and who were 5–6 years old during the periods between October 2005 and July 2010, as described previously [22]. The study was approved by the Columbia University Institutional Review Board and informed consent obtained.

Residential monitoring

Briefly, for BC and PM$_{2.5}$ measurements, two-week integrated indoor monitoring was conducted at each of the first 262 homes between October 2005 and July 2010 for two time points each six months apart as described in detail [22,23]. Indoor air monitors were placed in a room where the child spent most of his or her time (mostly child’s bedroom). For an additional n = 77, only one two-week measure was obtained. At one third of randomly selected homes, simultaneous outdoor sampling was conducted. While BC and PM$_{2.5}$ were collected at both time points, a panel of 16 PAH was measured at one time point only.

BC and PM$_{2.5}$ were collected on Teflon filter samples with a flow rate of 1.5 L/min for two weeks, leading to an average sampling volume of 30.1 m$^3$. BC was estimated primarily by using a multi-wavelength integrating sphere method to achieve maximum specificity over a large range of ambient pollutants levels [24]. Filters were pre- and post-weighed on a microbalance after being equilibrated under a temperature and humidity controlled environment for at least 24 hours for measurement of PM$_{2.5}$ [22,23].

Particulate phases and gas phases PAH were collected on a quartz microfiber filter and polyurethane foam (PUF) cartridge back-up, respectively with the same sampling flow rate as BC and PM$_{2.5}$ collection. The sixteen PAH monitored were: benz[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene/iso-chrysene (Chry), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbFA), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkFA), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene (IP), dibenz[a,h]anthracene (DahA), benzo[g,h,i]perylene (BghiP), pyrene (Pye), phenanthrene (Phe), 1-methylphenanthrene (1Meph), 2-methylphenanthrene (2Meph), 3-methylphenanthrene (3Meph), 9-methylphenanthrene (9Meph), 1,7-dimethylphenanthrene (1,7DMeph), and 3,6-dimethylphenanthrene (3,6DMeph). A single soxhlet extraction of both the filters and PUFs together was analyzed at Southwest Research Institute (San Antonio, TX) [20,25].

Questionnaires

Questionnaires that addressed residential environmental conditions including FL of home, total number of stories in building of residence and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), which was defined by the report of any smoker in the home, were administered when the child was 5–6 years old. The FL of home and total number of stories in building were reassessed by field staff during set up of the residential air monitor.
**Statistical analysis**

Due to the non-normal distributions of BC, PM$_{2.5}$ and individual PAH, nonparametric analyses were conducted. PAH levels were summed according to their relative volatility and gas/particle partitioning i.e., $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ (sum of 8 low molecular-weight-PAH ≤ 206) and $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ (sum of 8 high molecular-weight-PAH ≥ 228). Heating season was defined as any sampling initiated from October 1 through April 31 after calculating the number of heating degree days (HDD) per month as described previously [22].

Participants who moved to outside the catchment area were excluded from analysis (n = 21). Traffic-related airborne-pollutant levels were analyzed by FL, classified into three groups: 0–2nd, 3rd–5th, and 6th–32nd FL. Data between 6th and 32nd FL were grouped together due to the small number of samples collected at higher floor levels. In addition, building type was classified into two groups: low-rise (if total FL is less than 6 stories) versus high-rise apartment buildings (if total FL is equal to or higher than 6 stories). Differences in PAH, BC, and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations measured at different FL or building type were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Analyses were conducted using SPSS software (SPSS; Chicago, IL, version 18).

3. Results

3.1. Floor Level (FL) Distribution by Study Participants

Forty-eight percent of participants lived between 3rd and 5th floors of buildings (indicated by blue) and nineteen percent of participants resided on the 6th–32nd FL (Figure 1). The majority of participants (73.8%) lived in low-rise buildings when compared to high-rise buildings.

3.2. Vertical Gradients of Airborne-Pollutants, Effects of Season

Outdoors, the highest median levels were observed at 3rd–5th FL for all measured airborne pollutants, although the trend was not statistically significant (Table 1). Indoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ was significantly lower at 0–2nd FL than at higher FL (Table 1, p = 0.038). In contrast, a nonsignificant but decreasing trend in indoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ concentrations was observed with increasing FL (Table 1, p > 0.05). When homes exposed to ETS were excluded from analysis, negative associations between indoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ concentrations and FL became significant (Table 2, p = 0.033). Similarly, indoor BC levels were associated negatively with FL, both with and without ETS exposure (Table 1, p < 0.05; Table 2, p < 0.05). The indoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was not affected by FL.

During the nonheating season, the median outdoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ level at 6th–32nd FL was 1.5–2 times lower than those measured at the lower FL although the trend was not statistically significant (Figure 2, p > 0.05). In comparison, the median level of outdoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ did not differ by FL regardless of season. Higher median levels of indoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ were observed at 3rd–5th FL compared to either lower or upper FL only during the nonheating season. Both outdoors and indoors during the nonheating season, BC concentrations at 6th–32nd FL were significantly lower than those at lower FL (Figure 2, p < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). Heating season did not alter the measured vertical gradient pattern of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations outdoors or indoors (Figure 2).

3.3. Effects of Building Type

Both outdoors and indoors, $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC concentrations measured at high-rise apartment buildings were significantly lower than those measured at low-rise building across all FL (Figure 3; p < 0.05 for $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and p < 0.001 for BC; Kruskal-Wallis test). In general, the levels of indoor air pollutants measured at the same FL were lower in high-rise...
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buildings compared to low-rise buildings especially when homes with ETS exposure were excluded. For example, the median concentrations of $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ measured in low-rise buildings were 10–30% higher than those in high-rise buildings at 0–2nd and 3rd–5th FL, respectively (Table 3). In comparison, there were no significant differences in $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations by building type. However, the median indoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentration measured at low-rise buildings was 40% higher compared to those measured at high-rise building when considering levels collected at the lowest floors (0–2nd FL) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

PAHs are ubiquitous in indoor and outdoor air. While the major sources of nonvolatile PAHs and BC are traffic emissions and residential heating in NYC and other US cities, semivolatile PAHs have prominent indoor sources such as space heating (e.g., kerosene heaters), cooking, or burning incense or candles [22,26]. Our objective was to characterize vertical gradients of these traffic-related air pollutant exposures in outdoor and indoor environments and determine the effects of season and building type. We found that residential levels of indoor $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC concentrations, but not PM$_{2.5}$, were substantially lower at higher FLs compared to 0–2nd FL. Further, children living in low-rise buildings are exposed to higher levels of $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC than those at high-rise buildings.

Vertical gradients of ambient air pollution may vary depending on differences in emission sources and vertical mixing conditions, meteorological conditions and street configuration [15]. Farrar et al. [27] proposed three major scenarios in which vertical gradient patterns of pollutants may differ: (1) minimal ground-level sources with dominant advection; (2) strong ground-level sources under a stable atmosphere; and (3) higher emissions from upper boundary (e.g., chimney). Several studies have reported a clear decreasing trend of PAHs and PM$_{2.5}$ with heights in urban areas (scenario 2) due to dominant motor vehicle emissions at ground level and weak vertical mixing conditions [17,18,28]. Although these studies demonstrated well the typical vertical gradient pattern of aerosols in urban atmosphere, vertical distribution pattern of air pollutants under complex conditions such as in the presence of multiple emission sources has not been understood clearly.

The vertical gradient of outdoor $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC may be attributed to either ground-level traffic sources under a stable atmosphere or emissions on building roofs due to residential heating. When the primary sources dominate at ground level, the higher concentration is expected to be observed at lower FL; whereas when the upper boundary emissions dominate, the higher concentration would occur with higher FL. We observed the highest concentration at 3rd–5th FL in outdoor environment for all measured air pollutants, although variation by pollutant and season was observed. Our data suggest that both upward transportation of ground-level emissions (e.g., tailpipe exhaust of motor vehicles, cooking emissions from commercial restaurants) and downward dispersion from chimneys (mostly located at 5th–6th FL) may reach their peak level at 3rd–5th FL.

A pattern of decreasing $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC levels with increased FL became significant only in ETS-free indoor environments, indicating that the presence of ETS in the homes could mask the indoor vertical gradients of $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC. This finding also suggests that indoor sources such as smoking may be important confounders when determining indoor vertical gradients of $\Sigma_8$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC. This finding is consistent with other studies showing that nonvolatile PAHs, in particular chrysene, are the primary contributors to ETS exposure [29,30].
Our failure to observe vertical differences in outdoor PM$_{2.5}$ suggests that ground-level sources of PM$_{2.5}$ may be overwhelmed by regional sources influences. As reported in Qin et al. [31], ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations measured in NYC and northeastern U.S. cities were observed to be relatively uniform due to large contributions from long-range transported aerosols and regional sources like coal-fired power plants. Also, indoor PM$_{2.5}$ levels have been associated with indoor activities such as cooking, smoking, burning incense/candle and residential heating [32–34]. Therefore, the contribution of regional sources and indoor activities may outweigh emissions from ground-level sources, possibly resulting in an even distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ with FL in this study.

Our analyses by season showed that during the heating season, outdoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC levels did not vary across FL. During the nonheating season, their levels were lowest at 6th–32nd FL. This observation suggests that ground level sources drive gradients during the nonheating season, whereas during the heating season, $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC emitted from boiler chimneys on rooftops masks the vertical gradient pattern of ground-level generated pollutants. Wu et al. [35] found the highest particulate PAH concentrations at a height of 40 m, where chimneys are located, compared to 20 m or 60 m near a crossroad, implying that emissions from coal combustion boiler chimneys in surrounding neighborhoods contribute to PAHs in the atmosphere. During the nonheating season, outdoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ concentrations may decrease further with increasing FL because ambient ozone levels increase with height and facilitate the degradation of PAH, as described previously in the same cohort [22] and others [36–38].

Building type also was identified as an important parameter influencing air pollution exposures across FL. Higher levels of outdoor and indoor $\Sigma_{8}$PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ and BC were detected in low-rise buildings compared to high-rise buildings. This difference can be explained partially by geography. Most low-rise buildings are located adjacent to roadways and nearby commercial businesses (e.g., mixed-buildings with restaurants, store fronts, etc.) [39]. Therefore, people living in low-rise buildings may be exposed to higher levels of air pollution emitted not only from traffic emissions, but also business-related emissions. In contrast, the majority of high-rise buildings included in this study are set farther back from the roadways, isolated from commercial spaces, possibly surrounded by some open green space, or even further separated from nearby neighborhoods in project housing complexes. These conditions may facilitate vertical mixing, dilution and dispersion of air pollution. Trees and other forms of vegetation may act as efficient sinks for particles, metals, and other gaseous compounds, inhibiting the spread of air pollution [40–42].

We acknowledge that, because this birth cohort study was not designed specifically to investigate vertical gradient patterns of air pollutants, we were unable to control for the effects of location, time, and meteorological conditions on air pollutant measures. Most residential samples are not concurrent and are from various locations throughout upper Manhattan and the Bronx. These factors could affect the measured outdoor vertical gradients. However, this study does provide a large dataset of direct residential outdoor and indoor measures, and these results advance our understanding of the effects of vertical gradient on residential exposure levels for urban children.

5. Conclusions

Inner city children living in apartment buildings may be exposed to different levels of air pollutants, depending on FL, season and building type. Consideration of vertical heterogeneity associated with exposure to air pollution may lead to a more accurate assessment of exposure in cohort research. These results may have substantial implications...
for diseases known to be influenced or triggered by exposure to air pollution, such as asthma.
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Figure 1.
Floor level (FL) distribution by CCCEH participants.
Northern Manhattan and the Bronx areas are illustrated by the solid black line. Circles represent residences of each participant and the color indicates different FL: Red (0–2nd FL), blue (3rd–5th FL), and green (6th–32nd FL). Map was generated by using R software.
Figure 2.
Seasonal variations in vertical distributions of air pollutants measured in (a) outdoor, (b) indoor environment.

Note: Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to compare the measured air pollutant levels by FL, stratified by heating season and nonheating season. Significance at * p < 0.05. The white and black lines show individual observations, while the black and white areas show the distributions of observations at each FL for heating season and nonheating season, respectively. The dotted line indicates the overall geometric mean and the thicker solid line shows the geometric mean concentration of each FL level for each season. $\Sigma_{8} PAH_{\text{nonvolatile}}$ includes benz(a)anthracene (BaA), chrysene/iso-chrysene (Chry), benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbFA), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkFA), benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), indeno(c,d)pyrene (IP), dibenz(a,h)anthracene (DahA), and benzo(ghi)perylene (BghiP). $\Sigma_{8} PAH_{\text{semivolatile}}$ includes pyrene (Pye), phenanthrene (Phe), 1-methylphenanthrene (1Meph), 2-methylphenanthrene (2Meph), 3-methylphenanthrene (3Meph), 9-methylphenanthrene (9Meph), 1,7-dimethylphenanthrene (1,7DMeph), and 3,6-dimethylphenanthrene (3,6DMeph).
Figure 3.
Effect of building type on measured levels of outdoor and indoor air pollutants.
Note: The white and black lines show individual observations, while the white and black areas show the distribution. The dotted line indicates the overall geometric mean and the thicker solid line shows the geometric mean concentration of low-rise and high-rise buildings for each outdoor and indoor environment. Significance at * p < 0.05 and ** p < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test. Σ8PAHnonvolatile includes benz(a)anthracene (BaA), chrysene/iso-chrysene (Chry), benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbFA), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkFA), benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), indeno(c,d)pyrene (IP), dibenz(a,h)anthracene (DahA), and benzo(ghi)perylene (BghiP). Σ8PAHsemivolatile includes pyrene (Pye), phenanthrene (Phe), 1-methylphenanthrene (1Meph), 2-methylphenanthrene (2Meph), 3-methylphenanthrene (3Meph), 9-methylphenanthrene (9Meph), 1,7-dimethylphenanthrene (1,7DMeph), and 3,6-dimethylphenanthrene (3,6DMeph).
Table 1

Residential outdoor and indoor levels of air pollutants measured by FL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outdoor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Indoor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–2nd FL</td>
<td>3rd–5th FL</td>
<td>6th–32nd FL</td>
<td>0–2nd FL</td>
<td>3rd–5th FL</td>
<td>6th–32nd FL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ8PAHnonvolatile</td>
<td>1.58 (1.96 ± 1.35)</td>
<td>1.74 (2.45 ± 2.21)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.84 ± 1.45)</td>
<td>1.46 (2.03 ± 2.21)</td>
<td>1.32 (2.30 ± 6.34)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.67 ± 1.99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ8PAHsemivolatile</td>
<td>13.5 (19.3 ± 19.4)</td>
<td>16.4 (19.1 ± 10.4)</td>
<td>13.4 (18.7 ± 14.3)</td>
<td>36.8* (43.3 ± 24.1)</td>
<td>44.6 (65.7 ± 65.4)</td>
<td>41.0 (45.5 ± 25.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>1.54 (1.67 ± 0.52)</td>
<td>1.65 (1.66 ± 0.44)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.51 ± 0.48)</td>
<td>1.59* (1.85 ± 1.08)</td>
<td>1.52 (1.66 ± 0.72)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.47 ± 0.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>10.6 (10.7 ± 4.06)</td>
<td>11.6 (12.0 ± 3.21)</td>
<td>10.2 (10.7 ± 3.10)</td>
<td>13.1 (17.4 ± 4.7)</td>
<td>13.4 (16.6 ± 13.1)</td>
<td>12.8 (17.4 ± 13.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kruskal-Wallis test performed among different FL; *
p-value < 0.05; Unit expressed in ng/m³ for PAH, μg/m³ for BC and PM2.5; Σ8PAHnonvolatile: BaA, Chry, BbFA, BkFA, BaP, IP, DahA, and BghiP; Σ8PAHsemivolatile: Phe, 1Meph, 2Meph, 3Meph, 9Meph, 1,7DMeph, 3,6DMeph, and Pye; Median with (arithmetic mean ± standard deviation) presented.
### Table 2
Indoor levels of air pollutants by FL among homes without ETS exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indoor levels without ETS exposure</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–2nd FL</td>
<td>3rd–5th FL</td>
<td>6th–32nd FL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ₈PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$</td>
<td>1.53* (2.08 ± 2.34)</td>
<td>1.31 (2.39 ± 6.96)</td>
<td>0.96 (1.35 ± 1.06)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ₈PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$</td>
<td>35.7 (40.4 ± 18.7)</td>
<td>44.6 (64.3 ± 63.3)</td>
<td>42.5 (48.1 ± 28.3)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>1.50** (1.75 ± 0.97)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.67 ± 0.77)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.35 ± 0.40)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>12.6 (16.4 ± 15.1)</td>
<td>13.0 (15.6 ± 11.8)</td>
<td>11.8 (16.1 ± 13.0)</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kruskal-Wallis test performed among different FL;

* p-value < 0.05;

** p-value <0.01; Unit expressed in ng/m$^3$ for PAH, μg/m$^3$ for BC and PM$_{2.5}$; Σ₈PAH$_{\text{nonvolatile}}$: BaA, Chry, BbFA, BkFA, BaP, IP, DahA, and BghiP; Σ₈PAH$_{\text{semivolatile}}$: Phe, 1Meph, 2Meph, 3Meph, 9Meph, 1,7DMeph, 3,6DMeph, and Pye; Median with (arithmetic mean ± standard deviation) presented.
Table 3

Indoor air pollutant levels by building type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0–2nd FL</th>
<th>3rd-5th FL</th>
<th>LR/HR^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-rise</td>
<td>High-rise</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ₈PAH_{nonvolatile}</td>
<td>1.57 (2.07 ± 2.18)</td>
<td>1.47 (2.29 ± 3.12)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ₈PAH_{semivolatile}</td>
<td>34.9 (42.7 ± 26.5)</td>
<td>30.9 (41.5 ± 27.4)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>1.56 (1.83 ± 1.05)</td>
<td>1.29 (1.43 ± 0.49)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>13.4 (17.8 ± 16.3)</td>
<td>9.55 (12.6 ± 7.13)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LR/HR^a is the ratio of the median levels of pollutant measured in low-rise buildings to high-rise buildings; Unit expressed in ng/m³ for PAH, μg/m³ for BC and PM₂.₅; Median with (arithmetic mean ± standard deviation) presented; Only those without reported ETS were included in these analyses.